

1  
2  
3  
4                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5                   **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
6

7                   JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,  
8                   Plaintiffs,  
9                   v.  
10                  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,  
11                  Defendants.

3:08-cv-0246-LDG-RAM  
(Kroshus I)

12                  ALICIA UHOUSE, et al.,  
13                  Plaintiffs,  
14                  v.  
15                  THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
16                  THE INTERIOR, et al.,  
17                  Defendants.

3:08-cv-0285-LDG-RAM

18                  BILL ADAMSON, et al.,  
19                  Plaintiffs,  
20                  v.  
21                  THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
22                  Defendant.

3:08-cv-0621-LDG-RAM  
(Adamson I)

1 LARRY J. MOORE, et al.,

3:09-cv-0167-LDG-RAM

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 Defendants.

---

6 JAMES ADGETT, et al.,

3:09-cv-0649-LDG-RAM

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

10 Defendant.

---

11 JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,

3:09-cv-0713-LDG-RAM  
(Kroshus II)

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

15 Defendants.

---

16 BILL ADAMSON, et al.,

3:09-cv-0715-LDG-RAM  
(Adamson II)

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

20 Defendant.

---

21 JASON AMES, et al.,

3:10-cv-0463-LDG-RAM

22 Plaintiffs,

23 v.

24 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

25 Defendant.

1           THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that, as it has previously ruled, the court shall reinstate  
2 the motions previously denied without prejudice in due course. Accordingly, the following are  
3 DENIED without prejudice:

4           The United States' renewal and reinstatement of motion for summary judgment (#461) in  
5 Kroshus v. United States, 3:08-cv-0246-LDG (#673);

6           The United States' renewal and reinstatement of motion for summary judgment (#218) in  
7 Uhouse v. United States Department of the Interior, 3:08-cv-285-LDG (#376);

8           The United States' renewal and reinstatement of motion for summary judgment (#112) in  
9 Kroshus v. United States, 3:09-cv-0713-LDG (#286);

10          The United States' renewal and reinstatement of motion to dismiss in Adgett v. United  
11 States, 3:09-cv-649-LDG (#137);

12          The United States' renewal and reinstatement of motion to dismiss in Adamson v. United  
13 States, 3:09-cv-715-LDG (#140); and

14          The United States' renewal and reinstatement of motion to dismiss in Ames v. United  
15 States, 3:10-cv-463-LDG (#94).

16          THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that a hearing shall be conducted on the United  
17 States' motion for summary judgment in Kroshus v. United States, 3:08-cv-0246-LDG (#461);  
18 motion for summary judgment in Uhouse v. United States Department of the Interior, 3:08-cv-  
19 285-LDG (#218); and motion for summary judgment in Kroshus v. United States, 3:09-cv-0713-  
20 LDG (#112), on **Monday, the 14<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2013, at 9:00 a.m.** The location of the  
21 hearing shall be set by subsequent minute order.

22          THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that defendants City of Fernley's and County of  
23 Lyon's unopposed motions to dismiss are GRANTED in Kroshus v. United States, 3:08-cv-246-  
24 LDG (#744); and Kroshus v. United States, 3:09-cv-713-LDG (#310).

25          THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the United States' motion to strike plaintiffs'  
26 responses in Kroshus v. United States, 3:08-cv-0246-LDG (#682), and Kroshus v. United States,  
3:09-cv-0713-LDG (#293) are DENIED.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that plaintiffs' motions to set a trial date in Moore v. United States, 3:09-cv-167-LDG (#193); Adgett v. United States, 3:09-cv-649-LDG (#135); and Ames v. United States, 3:10-cv-463-LDG (#93) are DENIED without prejudice.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, as plaintiffs Catherine McDermed and Lyndsay Wilday have failed to oppose the United States' motion to dismiss and have not filed objections to the magistrate judge's report recommending granting the motion (#146), and good cause appearing, the United States' motion to dismiss for lack of prosecution regarding claims of Catherine McDermed and Lyndsay Wilday in Adgett v. United States, 3:09-cv-649-LDG (#136) is GRANTED.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given the stipulation for dismissal of claims by plaintiff Estate of Bruce Zettel, counsel for plaintiff Bruce Zettel's motion to withdraw as counsel in Ames v. United States, 3:10-cv-463-LDG (#77) is GRANTED.

DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2012.

Lloyd D. George  
United States District Judge